



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigania 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/632,140	08/03/2000	Roger P. Hoffman	P/2-72	1313
7590 08/06/2003			13	
PHILIP M. WEISS, ESQ. WEISS & WEISS 310 OLD COUNTRY ROAD			EXAMINER	
			PATTERSON, MARC A	
SUITE 201 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1772	<del></del>
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\Re$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
*		09/632,140	HOFFMAN, ROGER P.			
• Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	<u> </u>	Marc A Patterson	1772			
The MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS  - If the period for reply s  - If NO period for reply i  - Failure to reply within i  - Any reply received by	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn y be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication expecified above is less than thirty (30) days, as specified above, the maximum statutory peuthe set or extended period for reply will, by st	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be	days will be considered timely. Tom the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication.			
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on (	03 August 2000 .	•			
2a) This action	is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
	ccordance with the practice und	owance except for formal matters, der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	4 is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the a	bove claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5)	is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		.`			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ccepted or b) objected to by the E				
		the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	•			
		is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S	••					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
		provisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1				
Attachment(s)			•			
	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>5</u> . al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 21, 2003 has been entered.

### WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

2. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1 – 4 as being unpatentable over Merdem et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,057,359) in view of Massouda (U.S. Patent No. 5,116,649), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, are withdrawn.

## **NEW REJECTIONS**

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoffman (U.S. Patent No. 5,882,746).
- With regard to Claims 1-2, Hoffman disclose a laminated beverage carrier for beverage containers (column 3, lines 26-40) comprising a box comprising a folded, secured composite sheet (column 4, lines 25-35); the sheet comprises a base layer of unbleached virgin Kraft pulp

Art Unit: 1772

(therefore a layer of unbleached cellulosic fibers having an inner and outer surface; column 3, lines 10-13), an outer layer of separately formed paper having an inner surface and outer surface and printed graphics (column 2, lines 55-59), and an adhesive disposed between the inner surface of the outer layer and the outer surface of the inner layer, and serving to bond the outer layer to the inner layer (the base layer and outer layer are therefore continuously bonded; column 2, lines 55-59); with regard to the claimed aspect of the base layer being 'uncorrugated,' Hoffman teaches that beverage carriers are uncorrugated (distinguishes corrugated boxes from beverage carriers, which contain boxboard; column 1, lines 11-16); furthermore, no corrugation is taught by Hoffman in the making of the base layer (column 3, lines 26-40); the claimed aspect of the base layer being uncorrugated therefore reads on Hoffman. With regard to the claimed aspect of the paper layer being 'uncorrugated,' Hoffman does not teach corrugation of the paper layer; the claimed aspect of the paper layer being uncorrugated therefore reads on Hoffman.

With regard to Claim 3, the beverage carrier further comprises a layer of water absorbent material disposed on the inner surface of the base layer (column 4, lines 36 - 49).

With regard to Claim 4, the beverage carrier comprises a film of water resistant adhesive bonding the absorbent material to the base layer (column 4, lines 36 - 49).

# ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1 – 4 as being unpatentable over Merdem et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,057,359) in view of Massouda (U.S. Patent No. 5,116,649), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, have been considered and have been

Art Unit: 1772

found to be persuasive. The rejections are therefore withdrawn. The new 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of Claims 1 – 4 as being anticipated by Hoffman (U.S. Patent No. 5,882,746) above are directed to amended Claims 1-4.

# Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Patterson, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3537. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at (703) 308-4251. FAX communications should be sent to (703) 872-9310. FAXs received after 4 P.M. will not be processed until the following business day.

Marc A. Patterson, PhD.

Mare Petters

Art Unit 1772